## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER**

Page 1 of 4

**DOCKET NO.:** 2006-1962-MLM-E **TCEQ ID:** RN100824937 **CASE NO.:** 31679 **RESPONDENT NAME:** Cody B. Lewis and Anita Lewis dba Deer Springs Water Company

ORDER TYPE:		
X_1660 AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING
FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	SHUTDOWN ORDER	IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER
_AMENDED ORDER	EMERGENCY ORDER	
CASE TYPE:		
AIR	XMULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE
X PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION
WATER QUALITY	SEWAGE SLUDGE	UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL
MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	RADIOACTIVE WASTE	X PUBLIC WATER UTILITIES
TYPE OF OPERATION: Public water sup  SMALL BUSINESS:X_Yes  OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: Two supply had been shutting off the water at night	PLED: Deer Springs Water Company, on Deer Spring ply and public water utility  No  complaints were received on August 27, 2006, alleged to fill the ground storage tanks and that no boil was all pending enforcement actions regarding this facility	ging that the owners/operators of the water ater notice had been issued in response to the
INTERESTED PARTIES: Complaints wer Agenda.	e received, but the complainants have not expressed	a desire to protest this action or to speak at
COMMENTS RECEIVED: The Texas Reg	ister comment period expired on July 1, 2007. No c	comments were received.
4012; Mr. Steven Lopez, Enforcen <b>Respondent:</b> Mr. Cody B. Lewis a Buchanan Dam, Texas 78609	or: None  Ms. Rebecca Clausewitz, Enforcement Division, Entert Division, MC 219, (512) 239-1896  Ms. Anita Lewis, Owners and Operators, Deer Spesented by counsel on this enforcement matter	, , ,

**DOCKET NO.:** 2006-1962-ML

#### **VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART:** CORRECTIVE ACTIONS VIOLATION INFORMATION PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED Type of Investigation: Total Assessed: \$1,700 **Ordering Provisions:** X Complaint \_\_\_ Routine Total Deferred: \$340. The Order will require the Respondent to: Enforcement Follow-up X Expedited Settlement Records Review a. Within 10 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order: \_\_Financial Inability to Pay Date(s) of Complaints Relating to this Case: August 27, 2006 **SEP Conditional Offset: \$0** i. Implement procedures that will ensure boil water notifications will be issued to the Dates of Investigations Relating to this Total Paid to General Revenue: \$1,360 customers of the water supply within 24 Case: September 7 and 19, 2006 hours of a water outage, samples for bacteriological analysis will be collected **Site Compliance History Classification** Date of NOE Relating to this Case: following the return of service after a water \_\_ High \_\_ Average \_\_ Poor October 10, 2006 (NOE) outage, and all records of service interruptions will be maintained, including **Person Compliance History** information concerning the cause of the Background Facts: These were complaint Classification investigations. Fourteen violations were interruptions, the date, time, duration, High Average Poor location, approximate number of customers documented. affected, and, in cases of emergency Major Source: \_\_\_ Yes \_X\_ No interruptions, the remedy and steps taken to WATER prevent a recurrence; Applicable Penalty Policy: September 1) Failed to maintain a record of all service 2002 interruptions that shows the cause of the ii. Begin verifying the accuracy of manual disinfectant residual analyzers in the interruptions, the date, time, duration, location, approximate number of customers chlorine residual test kit at least once every affected, and, in cases of emergency 30 days using chlorine solutions of known interruptions, the remedy and steps taken to concentrations; and prevent a recurrence [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 291.90(b)]. iii. Begin maintaining the records of water works operations, including the amount of chemicals used each month, so that those 2) Failed to issue boil water notifications to the customers of the water supply within 24 records are available for review at the time of investigations. 31 1 1 1 1 W W W W hours of a water outage [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46(q)(1)]. b. Within 30 days after the effective date of 3) Failed to institute special precautions, this Agreed Order: including collection of a water sample for bacteriological analysis, to ensure that the i. Submit written certification of compliance drinking water supply has not been and include detailed supporting compromised following the return of service documentation including photographs, after a water outage [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § receipts, and/or other records to 290.46(q)]. demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision a.; 4) Failed to maintain the records of water works operations, including the amount of ii. Install all electrical wiring securely in chemicals used for the months of September accordance with a local or national through December 2005 and July and August electrical code; 2006, so that those records are available for review at the time of the investigation [30 iii. Begin maintaining three strands of TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46(f)(2) and barbed wire at the top of the intruder-(f)(3)(A)(i)(III)]. resistant fence surrounding the ground storage tank; 5) Failed to provide a minimum well capacity iv. Conduct an annual inspection of the

of 0.6 gallons per minute ("gpm") per

## **DOCKET NO.:** 2006-1962-ML

connection for the community and non-community parts of the water supply [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.45(b)(1)(C)(i) and (c)(1)(B)(i) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 341.0315(c)].

- 6) Failed to install all electrical wiring securely in accordance with a local or national electrical code [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46(v)].
- 7) Failed to verify the accuracy of manual disinfectant residual analyzers in the chlorine residual test kit at least once every 30 days using chlorine solutions of known concentrations [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46(s)(2)(C)(i)].
- 8) Failed to maintain three strands of barbed wire at the top of the intruder-resistant fence surrounding the ground storage tank [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 290.43(e)].
- 9) Failed to equip the ground storage tank with a water level indicator capable of measuring the feet of water remaining in the tank [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 290.43(c)(4)].
- 10) Failed to provide at least two service pumps at the pump station for the upper pressure plane [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 290.45(b)(1)(C)(iii)].
- 11) Failed to provide a total storage capacity of 200 gallons per connection for the 84 connections in the community part of the water system and a ground storage capacity of 35 gpm for the 44 connections in the noncommunity part of the water system [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 290.45(b)(1)(C)(ii), (c)(1)(B)(ii) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 341.0315(c)].
- 12) Failed to provide an elevated storage capacity of 100 gallons per connection in the lower pressure plane [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 290.45(b)(1)(C)(iv) and Tex. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 341.0315(c)].
- 13) Failed to conduct an annual inspection of the water system's one ground storage tank [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 290.46(m)(1)].
- 14) Failed to conduct an annual inspection of the water system's two pressure tanks [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 290.46(m)(1)].

water system's one ground storage tank; and

- v. Conduct an annual inspection of the water system's two pressure tanks.
- c. Within 60 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order:
- i. Submit written certification of compliance and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provisions b.ii. through b.v.;
- ii. Install a water level indicator on the ground storage tank that is capable of measuring the feet of water remaining in the tank; and
- iii. Install a second service pump at the pump station for pressure plane two.
- d. Within 90 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order:
- i. Submit written certification of compliance and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provisions c.ii. and c.iii.;
- ii. Provide a minimum well capacity of 0.6 gpm per connection for the community and non-community parts of the water supply;
- iii. Provide a total storage capacity of 200 gallons per connection in the community part of the water system and a ground storage capacity of 35 gpm in the non-community part of the water system; and
- iv. Provide an elevated storage capacity of 100 gallons per connection in the lower pressure plane.
- e. Within 105 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provisions d.ii. through d.iv.

		į			1.5
				•	

		1930	
	•		

A Company of the Comp

The state of the s

The state of the s

and the second of the second o

Paragraphic production of the control of the contro

For every percentage of the pe

	Penalty Calculation	n Worksheet (P	CW)	
Policy Revision 2 (Septem	•	`	,	n February 15, 2005
TCEQ	Tradition in a suppression of the supersion of the suppression of the supersion of the s			
	6-Oct-2006   Screening 9-Nov-2006	EPA Due		
RESPONDENT/FACILITY IN	FORMATION			
Respondent Co	ody B. Lewis and Anita Lewis dba Deer Spi	rings Water Company		The state of the s
Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RI				77 -
Facility/Site Region 11	-Austin	Major/Minor Source	Minor	
CASE INFORMATION				
Enf./Case ID No. 31	679	No. of Violations	12	
	06-1962-MLM-E	Order Type		
Media Program(s) Pւ	ıblic Water Supply	Enf. Coordinator	Rebecca Clausewitz	<b>.</b>
Multi-Media		EC's Team	EnforcementTeam 2	2
Admin. Penalty \$ Lin	nit Minimum \$50 Maximum	\$1,000		***************************************
	Penalty Calcula	tion Section		
TOTAL BASE PENALT	Y (Sum of violation base penaltie		Subtotal 1	\$1,650
ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO	O SUBTOTAL 1			
	by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by			
Compliance History	/ 0%	Enhancement Subt	otals 2, 3, & 7	\$0
Notes N	o adjustment to the penalty is recommende			
Notes	lack of compliance his	story.		
<u> </u>			J	
Culpability	No 0%	Enhancement	Subtotal 4	\$0
7.7.2.3			42000,4500000000000000000000000000000000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Notes	The Respondents do not meet the	culpability criteria.		
			]	
Sold Flut Feed (				60
Good Faith Effort to	and the second of the contract of the second contract of the second of t	Reduction	Subtotal 5	\$0
Extraordinary	Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer			
Ordinary				
N/A	x (mark with x)			
Notes	The Respondents do not meet the go	od faith effort criteria.		
			DATEC WELLOW, IN A METHOD AND APPLICATION	
		Enhancement*	Subtotal 6	\$0
	otal EB Amounts \$2,356 *Capped st of Compliance \$18,240	at the Total EB \$ Amount		•
, pprox. co.	\$10,240		*	
SUM OF SUBTOTALS	1-7		inal Subtotal	\$1,650
				Ţ.,5 <b>00</b>
OTHER FACTORS AS	JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE		Adjustment	\$0
	otal by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only	(; e.a30 for -30% )		Ψυ
_	The managed personnege. (Error Hallbur Olly	, 0.9. 00 101 100 70.7	1	
Notes				
L	<u> </u>	Final Pe	」 nalty Amount	\$1,650
Control of the particle space of the second	Ligar - Maria Anggara - Languaga -	Magaziniani in communicación que que aproceso proprieta que se en 1800 de 1800		
STATUTORY LIMIT AD	JUSTMENT	Final Asse	essed Penalty	\$1,650

Adjustment

-\$330

\$1,320

Reduction

DEFERRAL
Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

Notes

PAYABLE PENALTY

Screening Date 9-Nov-2006

Docket No. 2006-1962-MLM-E

Respondent Cody B. Lewis and Anita Lewis dba Deer Springs Water

Case ID No. 31679

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100824937

Media [Statute] Public Water Supply Enf. Coordinator Rebecca Clausewitz

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision February 15, 2005

Washington and the same of the		Compliance History Worksheet	The state of the s		8086A40FVF
CONTRACTOR	Commence of the second	Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2) Number of	Énler Number Here	Adjust.	
		Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	0	.0%	
		Other written NOVs	Ö,	0%	
	Boot scott	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%	
0		Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denia of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission		0%	i.
	lgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)		0%	. 4 ( ) -
7110000	Consent ecrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federa government		0%	j.,
Con	victions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%	
Em	nissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%	·
10 to 10	\udits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	and the second of the second of the second of	0%	
		Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 ( <i>number of audits for which violations were disclosed</i> )	0	0%	
		Plêc	se Enter Yes or No		-
		Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%	
	Other ,	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%	
	outer ,	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No ii	0%	
general, a mar		Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal governmen environmental requirements	No No	0%	
Repeat Vio			Percentage (St	ıbtotal 2)	0'
Repeat Vio	lator (Su	btotal 3)		<u> </u>	
2164076	N/A	Adjustment i	Percentage (St	ıbtotal 3)	00
Complianc	e History	Person Classification (Subtotal 7)			
	N/A	Company of the control of the contro	Percentage (Si	ıbtotal 7)	09
Complianc	e History		erit Signatura Maria		
. н	npliance listory Notes	No adjustment to the penalty is recommended due to the Respondents' lack of complian	nce history.	(李 (1)) (李 (1))	
		Total Adjustment Percentage	(Subtotale	2 2 2 7)	09
	MANAGEMENT OF THE PARTY OF THE	rotai Aujustinent Fercentay	, Loupiviais	., J, O( /)	L 07

	<b>Date</b> 9-Nov-2006		ocket No. 2006-1962		PCW
		and Anita Lewis dba Deer	Springs Water Compan	Policy Re	evision 2 (September 2002)
	<b>No.</b> 31679			PCW F	Revision February 15, 2005
Reg. Ent. Reference					
	<b>tute]</b> Public Water S				
Enf. Coordin	ator Rebecca Clau	sewitz			-
Violation Nu	mber 1				
Rule C	ite(s)	30 Tex. Admin.	. Code § 290.46(q)(1)	an a	
Violation Descri	Failed to issu ption hours of a wal	ue boil water notifications to ter outage. Specifically, a no boil water	o the customers of the w water outage occurred o notice was issued.	rater supply within 24 n August 4, 2006, and	
				Base Penalty	\$1,000
>> Environmental, Prope	arty and Human I	Hoalth Matrix			
-> Liiviioiiitteiitai, i Tope	arty and Human	Harm			
	lease Major	Moderate Minor			
OR A	Actual		]		
Pot	tential		Percent	0%	
	-				
>>Programmatic Matrix	重制器 编章				
Falsific	ation Major	Moderate Minor	a	<b></b>	
20.5	X		Percent	10%	
Matrix Notes		100% of the rule requiren	nent was not met.		
			Adjustment	\$900	
					\$100
Violation Events	直插 練 港里		在主要机器。		
Number	of Violation Events		1 Number of	violation days	• .
engen	T				
noundament of the second of th	daily				
and and an analysis of the second	monthly		V	iolotion Page Denelty	\$100
mark on with a			· • •	iolation Base Penalty	\$100
	annual				
	single event	X			
200	g.,				
		One single event is re	ecommended.		
Economic Benefit (EB) f				y Limit Test	
	mated ED Amount	<b>使ない</b> に	) Violatio	on Final Penalty Total	\$100
Esti	mated EB Amount	\$300	oj violativ	on i mai i enaity rotai	Ψ.00
Esti	mated Eb Amount		Final Assessed Penalt		\$100

		and Anita Lewis di	a Deer Springs W	ater Co	mpany	The state of the s	
Case ID No.						nomination of	lagge of the A
Reg. Ent. Reference No.							2.00
	Public Water S	upply				Percent Interest	Years of
Violation No.	1			DECEMBER AND ASSET	and the second second second second second second		Depreciation
			1.4.4.4.50			5.0	18
化二氯基苯酚树	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$		a Torno A. Sancar				
	Maria Caraca Car			200000000000000000000000000000000000000			######################################
Delayed Costs	W. 35 to 5			3.57			Bedite.
Equipment	a call tred is	Programmatile of	nd skusišis militori	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings	The second second	4107. 20160.2016 1015	and a second second	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0.5
Other (as needed)		districtive to the part of the con-	or some softentimes	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$ \$0	\$0
Land	Conduction of the Conduction o		a sagest (see to expense one office of	0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0,0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal		received the second sec		0.0	\$0 \$0	n/a n/a	\$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)	Fire Co.			0.0	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
outer (ao noodod)	The State of the S	### **********************************		1950 1 STV K			
Notes for DELAYED costs		There	are no delayed o	osts ass	ociated with this v	olation.	
	Singer V		THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE		Andrew Control of the	100 Mary 100	
Avoided Costs	ANN	UALIZE [1] avoide	d costs before e	THE STATE OF THE S		one-time avoided	
Disposal	ANN	UALIZE [1] avoide	ed costs before e	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Disposal Personnel	ANN	UALIZE [1] avoide	ed costs before e	0.0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	ANN	UALIZE [1] avoid	ed costs before e	0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0
Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	ÄNN	UALIZE [1] avoid	ed costs before e	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]				0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	\$300	UALIZE [1] avoide	ed costs before e	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$300	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$300
Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]				0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	\$300	5-Aug-2006	6-Aug-2006	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$300	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$300 if \$0
Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	\$300	5-Aug-2006	6-Aug-2006	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$300 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$300 if \$0
Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$300	5-Aug-2006	6-Aug-2006	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$300 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$300 if \$0
Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$300	5-Aug-2006	6-Aug-2006	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$300 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$300 if \$0
Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$300	5-Aug-2006	6-Aug-2006	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$300 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$300 if \$0

Jacobsky Comments

The state of the s

 $0 > \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} +$ 

	Scre	ening Date	9-Nov-2006			Dock	<b>et No.</b> 200	6-1962-ML	.M-E	PCW
			Cody B. Lewis	and Anita Le	ewis dba De	er Spring	gs Water Co	mpany	Policy	Revision 2 (September 2002)
		Case ID No.							PCV	V Revision February 15, 2005
Reg.			RN100824937							
			Public Water S							
			Rebecca Claus	ewitz						
	Viola	ation Number								<b>=</b> 1
		Rule Cite(s)			30 Tex. Ac	lmin. Cod	de § 290.46(	q)	<u> </u>	
	Violatio	n Description	been compron	nised following failed to co	ng the retur	n of servi samples f	ice after a w	ater outago ogical anal	er supply has not e. Specifically, the ysis following the 06.	3
									Base Penalt	y \$1,000
>> Enviro	onmental	, Property a	ınd Human F		trix			5.5		
		Release	Major	Harm Moderate	Minor					
OR		Actual		moderate						
<b>基基</b>		Potential					Per	cent	25%	
>>Progra	mmatic.	Matrix	16 16 12			8 A E	在高			
		Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor					
							Per	cent	0%	
養長 装										
	Matrix Notes		cting bacteriolog supply could ha	ave been exp		ntaminan	nts which wo		ored, customers of levels that are	f
	4.545			1 1 1	(37) 14 23 (37) 14 23		Adju	stment	\$75	0
										\$250
Violation	Events	19-27 1		( D. I.)	¥ II	, A §	15			
		Number of Vi	olation Events	1			1 Nur	mber of vic	olation days	
			daily		]					
		mark only one with an x	monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event	X			•	Viola	ation Base Penalt	у \$250
				One si	ngle event i	is recomn	mended.			
Economi	ic Benefi	t (EB) for th	is violation	§ 3	& 4.E		St	atutory l	lmit Test	
***************************************		Estimate	d EB Amount			\$50	,	Violation	Final Penalty Tota	\$250
					This violat	tion Fina	l Assessed	Penalty (a	adjusted for limits	\$250
		E E 10 35 3		S-1		-	34			,

	. ≜ ∮ E	conomic E	Benefit W	orks	sheet		
Respondent Case ID No. Reg. Ent. Reference No.	31679	and Anita Lewis db	a Deer Springs V	/ater Co	mpany	terior	
	Public Water S	upply			in the second	Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
	#10 T	47. 5M II 1		19 19	图 的复数	5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
ltem Description	No commas or \$	District describes					C 14 155
<b>5</b> 42246		The second secon					
Delayed Costs Equipment				0.0	\$0	l \$0	\$0
Buildings		Key St. Landon St. J. J. L.	CONTRACTOR ASSESSED	0.0	\$0	\$0	*f\$ c. \$0 :
Other (as needed)		- Contract		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction	9, 12 -1 9.	Commission Waller Co.		0.0	\$0	\$ \$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System		No. 1		0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal	Control of the control of the			0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs		internal control of the control of t	- Contraction underly	0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)			(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	0.0	\$0 :	n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs	print of the second		I mare same	osts ass	ociated with this v	Thoras Lead	<b>X</b>
Avoided Costs	ANN	UALIZE [1] avoide	d costs before e	***************************************		draffinativities of the same of the same are the	
Disposal	a constant			0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel		POPPLEMENT AND		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0 *0	\$0
Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	5.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00	7.04	Marie Ma	0,0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$50	5-Aug-2006	6-Aug-2006	0.0	\$0	\$50	\$50
OME-THAT SAGINGU COSTS [2]	930	0-Aug-2000	U-Aug-2000	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
i de la companya de La companya de la co	The avoided	costs include the ar	nount to conduct		101500 see		124
Notes for AVOIDED costs	ar ibir din 191	$\{Y_i\}_{i=1}^{N}$	date that the w	ater sup	ply was restored.	ragitis (* 17. j.). Bodinski sala	1 23347
		and the second second				Maria de la Caración	4

Screening Date	9-Nov-2006	<b>Docket No.</b> 2006-1962-MLM-E	PCW
		a Lewis dba Deer Springs Water Company	Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No.			PCW Revision February 15, 2005
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN100824937		personal
Media [Statute]	Public Water Supply		op again
Enf. Coordinator	Rebecca Clausewitz		Surprised Control of C
Violation Number	3		
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex	c. Admin. Code § 290.46(f)(2) and (f)(3)(A)(i)(III)	
Violation Description	chemicals used for the	ne records of water works operations, including the amoust including the amoust including the amoust including the amoust including the same available for review at the time of investigation.	luly and
		Bas	e Penalty \$1,000
>> Environmental, Property	and Human Health N	Matrix	
	Harm		
Release	Major Moderate	e Minor	
OR Actual			***************************************
Potentia		Percent 0%	
A December 1			
>>Programmatic Matrix Falsification	Major Moderate	e Minor	
raisincation	x	Percent 10%	The state of the s
	<u> </u>	Tercent 1076	
Matrix Notes	100% of	f the rule requirement was not met.	W
		Adjustment	\$900
***************************************			\$100
Violation Events			
Violation Events			
Number of Vi	olation Events 1	52 Number of violation da	ys
. ,	·		
	daily		***************************************
- Andrews	monthly		
mark only one with an x	quarterly	Violation Bas	se Penalty \$100
Will all X	semiannual		
	annual single event x		
	angle event X	<del>  </del>	
	One	e single event is recommended.	
Economic Benefit (EB) for th	iis violation	Statutory Limit Te	st
Estimate	ed EB Amount	\$657 Violation Final Per	nalty Total \$100
		This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted	for limits) \$100
			,

	E	conomic l	Benefit W	orks	heet		
		and Anita Lewis di	oa Deer Springs W	ater Cor	npany		
Case ID No.	72					and a state of the	
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	. RN100824937				1. A.		energy delay and a second or a second or a
Media	Public Water S	upply			van disen tieVij	Percent Interest	Years of
Violation No	. 3				and the state of t	. Crocin interest	Depreciation
			<b>表现的数据</b>			5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	200	Section of the section	100		We had a		
Control of the Contro				Mission Made August Augus			1240010-04-40-200-0688-06-06-06-0-1
Delayed Costs		50 E L			75 760		4. 4.0
Equipment	and the same of the same of the	5 10 <u>20 120 2</u>	forest to the	0.0	\$0	Į \$0	\$0
Buildings		10.7 - 91.4 (24.7%)		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0,0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0,0	\$0 \$0	\$0 D/a	\$0. \$0
Land Record Keeping System		<u> </u>		0.0	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling			The second of th	0.0	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal			arteriango anno arrena delicando esp	0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
			are no delayed c	1997	nciated with this v	Manager residentil	The state of the s
Notes for DELAYED costs		reA7	Land Control of the C	STEWN THE THE STATE OF THE STAT	n this professor	the since (2)	
Avoided Costs	ANN	reA7	Land Control of the C	ntering	item (except for	one-time avoided	
Avoided Costs	ANN	reA7	Land Control of the C	ntering	item (except for	one-time avoided	\$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	ANN	reA7	ed costs before e	ntering 0.0	item (except for \$0 \$0	one-time avoided \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	s Ann	reA7	Land Control of the C	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0	item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided  \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	3 ANN	reA7	ed costs before e	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	4.00	UALIZE [1] avoid	ed costs before c	ntering   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0		one-time avoided	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	\$600	reA7	ed costs before e	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	4.00	UALIZE [1] avoid	ed costs before c	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.9		one-time avoided \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$600	UALIZE [1] avoide	ad costs before e	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.9 0.0	item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$657 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	\$600	UALIZE [1] avoide	ad costs before e	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.9 0.0		one-time avoided \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$00 \$600	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$657 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$600	UALIZE [1] avoide	ad costs before e	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.9 0.0	item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$00 \$600	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$657 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$600	UALIZE [1] avoide	ad costs before e	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.9 0.0	item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$00 \$600	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$657 \$0

Screening Date	9-Nov-2006	Docket No.	2006-1962-MLM-E	PCW
and the state of t	•	Lewis dba Deer Springs Wate	r Company	Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No.				PCW Revision February 15, 2005
Reg. Ent. Reference No.		•		
	Public Water Supply		,	
Enf. Coordinator Violation Number				
Rule Cite(s)		000 45(1) (4) (0) (1) (4) (4) (5) (1)	17 11 11 0 0 6 6	
,	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 2	290.45(b)(1)(C)(i), (c)(1)(B)(i) 341.0315(c)	and Tex. Health & Safety	Code §
Violation Description	connection for the Specifically, the syste	ninimum well capacity of 0.6 g community and non-communi em currently provides 58 gpm 4.5% deficient of the 76.8 gpm	ty parts of the water suppl for 128 connections, which	y.
			Base	Penalty \$1,000
>> Environmental, Property a	nd Human Health M	atrix		Management of the second of th
	Harm			
Release OR Actual		Minor		
Potential	Х		Percent 10%	
>>Programmatic Matrix Falsification	Major Moderate	Minor		
			Percent 0%	
10 to				
		mers of the water system cou safe and reliable supply of wa		
			Adjustment	\$900
		•		
				\$100
Violation Events				
Number of Vio	olation Events 1	52	Number of violation days	
			<b>.</b>	
	daily			
mark only one	monthly quarterly x		Violation Base	Penalty \$100
with an x	semiannual			
	annual			
	single event	·	•	
One quarter		calculated from the investiga eening date, November 9, 200		2006, to
Economic Benefit (EB) for th	is violation		Statutory Limit Tes	
Estimate	d EB Amount	\$216	Violation Final Pena	Ity Total \$100
		This violation Final Asses	sed Penalty (adjusted fo	r limits) \$100
		o elge en grant		

Media Violation No.							
violation no.	Public Water S 4	Supply				Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
			COLUMN LAND			5,0	
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
ltem Description	No commas or \$			ii yaan			
		(31, 177)		·			
Delayed Costs		1000	703 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 -	1	4	C. H. Bullion	all of the tracker
Equipment				0,0	\$0	<b>\$0</b>	\$0
Buildings		7.3.1.2.2.2.2.2.2.	a, a, k i Mada i Nasawa.	0.0	\$0	1 \$0	\$0 ***
Other (as needed)		310 100 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 2		0.0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
Engineering/construction Land		1		0.0	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	771 1.33	* Control of the Cont	<ul> <li>1 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1</li></ul>	0.0	### \$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Hammigroumping	Commence of the second	1 in 11 in 1	**********************	0.0			
Remediation/Disposal	CONTRACTOR AND	a se di circaga de escripto con el		0.0	alemanyä <b>SO</b> dentase	n/a	35()
Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs		e aternages was	Company of Company of the Company of	0.0	\$0************************************	Commence of the Assessment of	\$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)	\$5,000 The delayed			0.0 0.9 the well o	\$0 \$216 capacity to 0.6 gp	n/a n/a m per connection (7	\$0 \$216
Permit Costs Other (as rieeded) Notes for DELAYED costs	The delayed	costs include the an calculated from th	nount to increase e date of the inve	0,0 0,9 the well o	\$0 \$216 capacity to 0.6 gp to the estimated	n/a n/a m per connection (7 date of compliance.	\$0 \$216 '6:8 total gpm)
Permit Costs Other (as rieeded) Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs	The delayed	costs include the an calculated from th	nount to increase e date of the inve	0.0 0.9 the well ostigation	\$0 \$216 capacity to 0.6 gp to the estimated	n/a n/a m per connection (7 date of compliance.	\$0 \$216 '6.8 total gpm)
Permit Costs Other (as rieeded)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal	The delayed	costs include the an calculated from th	nount to increase e date of the inve	the well ostigation	\$0 \$216 capacity to 0.6 gp to the estimated item (except for \$0	n/a n/a m per connection (7 date of compliance. one-time ayoided of \$0	\$0 \$216 '6.8 total gpm) Costs) \$0
Permit Costs Other (as rieeded)  Notes for DELAYED costs  AVOIDED COSTS Disposal Personnel	The delayed	costs include the an calculated from th	nount to increase e date of the inve	the well a stigation intering 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$216 capacity to 0.6 gp to the estimated tem (except for \$0 \$0	n/a n/a m per connection (7 date of compliance.	\$0 \$216 '6.8 total gpm) 'osts) \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as rieeded)  Notes for DELAYED costs  AVOIDED COSTS Disposal Personnel i Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	The delayed	costs include the an calculated from th	nount to increase e date of the inve	the well ostigation	\$0 \$216 capacity to 0.6 gp to the estimated item (except for \$0	n/a n/a m per connection (7 date of compliance. one-time ayoided of \$0	\$0 \$216 '6.8 total gpm) Costs) \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  AVOIDED COSTS  Disposal Personnel i Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	The delayed	costs include the an calculated from th	nount to increase e date of the inve	0.0 0.9 the well of estigation entering 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$216 capacity to 0.6 gp to the estimated tem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0	m per connection (7 date of compliance some-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$216 '6.8 total gpm) 'costs) \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as rieeded)  Notes for DELAYED costs  AVOIDED COSTS Disposal Personnel (inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	The delayed	costs include the an calculated from th	nount to increase e date of the inve	the well control of the well o	\$0 \$216 capacity to 0.6 gp to the estimated tem (except for \$0 \$0	m per connection (7 date of compliance.  one-time avoided compliance.  \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$216 (6,8 total gpm) (sosts) \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as rieeded)  Notes for DELAYED costs  AVOIDED COSTS Disposal Personnel i Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	The delayed	costs include the an calculated from th	nount to increase e date of the inve	the well ostigation (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	\$0 \$216 capacity to 0.6 gp to the estimated tem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	m per connection (7 date of compliance.  one-time avoided ( \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$216 '6.8 total gpm) 'osts)' \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as rieeded)  Notes for DELAYED costs  AVOIDED COSTS Disposal Personnel (inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	The delayed	costs include the an calculated from th	nount to increase e date of the inve	the well ostigation of the well ostigation of the well ostigation of the well ostigation of the well o	\$0 \$216 capacity to 0.6 gp to the estimated item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a n/a m per connection (7 date of compliance.  one-time avoided ( \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$216 (6.8 total gpm) (6.8 total gpm) (6.8 total gpm) (6.8 total gpm) (6.8 total gpm) (7.8 total gpm) (8.9 t
Permit Costs Other (as rieeded)  Notes for DELAYED costs  AVOICED COSTS Disposal Personnel i Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	The delayed	costs include the an calculated from the	nount to increase e date of the inve	the well a stigation of the we	\$0 \$216 capacity to 0.6 gp to the estimated item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	m per connection (7 date of compliance.  one-time avoided (1 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$216 (6.8 total gpm) (6.8 total gpm) (6.8 total gpm) (6.8 total gpm) (6.8 total gpm) (7.8 total gpm) (8.9 t
Permit Costs Other (as rieeded)  Notes for DELAYED costs  AVOIDED COSTS Disposal Personnel (inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	The delayed	costs include the an calculated from the	nount to increase e date of the inve	the well a stigation of the we	\$0 \$216 capacity to 0.6 gp to the estimated item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	m per connection (7 date of compliance.  one-time avoided (1 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$216 (6.8 total gpm) (6.8 total gpm) (6.8 total gpm) (6.8 total gpm) (6.8 total gpm) (7.8 total gpm) (8.9 t

M. GARAGORIA

កក្សាស្រ្តាក់មួយក្នុងស្ថិតិក្នុង**ប្រ**សិស្សិក និងក្នុង

Care Control of the Control of Control of the Control of Contro

to a transfer of the second

The National Control

ar jedin da Alder je

Screening Date			t No. 2006-1962-MLM-E	PCW
	Cody B. Lewis and Anita L	ewis dba Deer Spring.	s Water Company	Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No.				PCW Revision February 15, 2005
Reg. Ent. Reference No.				
	Public Water Supply			
Enf. Coordinator Violation Number				
Rule Cite(s)	<u> </u>			<del></del>
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		30 Tex. Admin. Code	e § 290.46(v)	
Violation Description	Failed to install all elec	ctrical wiring securely, electrical co	in accordance with a local or na ode.	tional
			Base	Penalty \$1,000
>> Environmental, Property a	and Human Health Ma	ntrix		
B.L.	Harm			
OR Release		Minor		
Potential			Percent 10%	
>>Programmatic Matrix				
Falsification	Major Moderate	Minor		
			Percent 0%	output of the state of the stat
				Total Control
Matrix If wiring at the Notes		ous levels of electrical	of the water supply could be excurrent.	posed to
		2. State 2. 1 1 2 2 1 1	Adjustment	\$900
				2950
				\$100
Violation Events				
Number of Vid	olation Events 1		Number of violation day	S
- ·	daily .	7	4	·
oconomic of the state of the st	monthly			
mark only one	quarterly x		Violation Base	Penalty \$100
with an x	semiannual	4		
	annual single event			
One guarte	erly event is recommended	. calculated from the d	ate of the investigation, Septem	ber 19.
		late of screening, Nov	•	
Economic Benefit (EB) for th	is violation		Statutory Limit Tes	<b>d</b>
Estimate	d EB Amount	\$10	Violation Final Pena	alty Total \$100
			Assessed Penalty (adjusted f	
RANGE CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR		i ilia violation Fillat	nasosseu i enalty (aujusteu l	5 mines) \$100

	RN100824937						
Media Violation No.	Public Water S	Supply				Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
	1 2 2 2 3				AND SECURE SECURE	5.0	1
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						1 14 4
Delayed Costs						AND STORES	1. 171
Equipment	\$200	19-Sep-2006	1-Jun-2007	0.7	<b>\$</b> 0 .	\$9	\$10
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction	Pitt. Street			0.0	\$0 \$0	\$0 'n/a	\$0 \$0
Land Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Training/Sampling	3	30 Company (1980)		0.0	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal			CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)			1:312157.1	0.0	10 % <b>\$</b> 0 000	n/a	\$0
	The delayed	costs include the an	nount to install the	e electric	al wiring in a cond	dult so that it is no lo	
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs	2 - 9.5 Sign.		nount to install the e date of the inve	e electric stigation ntering	al wiring in a cond to the estimated item (except for	duit so that it is no lo date of compliance, one-time avoided (	onger exposed, costs)
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal	2 - 9.5 Sign.	calculated from th	nount to install the e date of the inve	e electric stigation	al wiring in a cond to the estimated	duit so that it is no lo date of compliance,	onger exposed,
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs	2 - 9.5 Sign.	calculated from th	nount to install the e date of the inve	e electric stigation ntering	al wiring in a cond to the estimated item (except for \$0	duit so that it is no lo date of compliance. one-time avoided of	onger exposed, costs) \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	2 - 9. T 5 (2)	calculated from th	nount to install the e date of the inve	e electric stigation ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0	al wiring in a condition to the estimated litem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	oult so that it is no lo date of compliance. one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0	costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	2 - 9. T 5 (2)	calculated from th	nount to install the e date of the inve	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	al wiring in a condito the estimated litem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	duit so that it is no lo date of compliance. one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	costs)  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs  AVOIDED COSTS Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	2 - 9. T 5 (2)	calculated from th	nount to install the e date of the inve	e electric stigation ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	al wiring in a condito the estimated litem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	duit so that it is no lo date of compliance.	costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs  AVOIDED COSTS Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	2 - 9. T 5 (2)	calculated from th	nount to install the e date of the inve	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	al wiring in a condito the estimated litem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	duit so that it is no lo date of compliance. one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	costs)  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0

Scree	ening Date 9-Nov-2006	Docket No. 2006-	1962-MLM-E	PCW
		and Anita Lewis dba Deer Springs Water Com	pany Policy Re	evision 2 (September 2002)
	ase ID No. 31679		PCW F	Revision February 15, 2005
Programme Table 1 Table 1	erence No. RN100824937			
Medi	a [Statute] Public Water 8	upply		and the state of t
	oordinator Rebecca Clau	sewitz		) The second sec
Viola	tion Number 6			1
reconstruction and the second	Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 290.46(s)(2)(0	C)(i)	
Violation		ify the accuracy of manual disinfectant residua est kit at least once every 30 days using chlori concentrations.		
***************************************			Base Penalty	\$1,000
>> Environmental.	Property and Human	lealth Matrix		outpreasures.
		Harm		
0.0	Release Major	Moderate Minor		
OR	Actual	X Perce	mt 50/	
5 550 5 500	Potential	X Perce	ent 5%	•
>>Programmatic M	// // // // // // // // // // // // //	The production of the second second		
, , og , ammane ,	Falsification Major	Moderate Minor		
		Perce	ent 0%	
Matrix Notes		racy of the disinfectant analyzers could result in nsignificant amounts of contamination at levels human health.		
		Adjusti	ment \$950	
*				\$50
				700
Violation Events	취임 등 문화			
	Number of Violation Events	1 52 Numb	per of violation days	
	daily			
	monthly			
	mark only one quarterly		Violation Base Penalty	\$50
	with an x semiannual		*	
	annual	****		
	single event	X		
				1
		One single event is recommended.		
Economic Benefit	(EB) for this violation	Stat	utory Limit Test	
	Estimated EB Amount	\$97 Vi	olation Final Penalty Total	\$50
	_ommatou ED Amount	401	Cianon i mai i charty i otal	
		This violation Final Assessed P	enalty (adjusted for limits)	\$50
5 12 E/B (6 E/B )			20 E N 9 EE N 924	

	E	conomic I	Benefit W	'orks	sheet		
		and Anita Lewis db	a Deer Springs W	/ater Co	mpany		
Case ID No.					4	13 630	tarita
Reg. Ent. Reference No. Media	RN100824937 Public Water S						Years of
Violation No.			•			Percent Interest	Depreciation
	THE 5 P 1	Stead & A	AND THE			5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$		al dollar lie				
51.10			4.07.02	AVERSE A CO.			
Delayed Costs Equipment	Total Control	ili a a a a a a a a a		1 00	\$0	eo l	en en
Equipment Buildings	Transaction of the second	2.004	C. Marchare, 1986	0.0	\$0	\$0 \$000000	\$0 10 (40 <b>\$0</b> (6 ) 14
Other (as needed)		1 0k - 1274 ( 12 - 23 - 1 - 2	A Section Control of the Control of	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction			14-41-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	0.0	\$0	∜\$0	\$0
Linginger ingression Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0,0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs	10.20	Participal Habiteria (S		-0,0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs	37561-4	no/il		Constitution San H	ociated with this v	San	
Avoided Costs	ANN	IUALIZE [1] avoide	d costs before e	ntering	item (except for	one-time avoided o	osts)
Disposal			udinipatiya kar	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	tree to be a second to the sec	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			\$0	00	
Personnel		A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	carbona do executo de liberta de con-	0.0		\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling			24.0	0,0	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment		2 22		0.0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]			Andrews	0,0 0,0 0,0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 ' \$0 \$0 \$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	\$90	19-Sep-2006	10-May-2007	0,0 0,0 0,0 1,6	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$7	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$90	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$97
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	\$90	19-Sep-2006	10-May-2007	0,0 0,0 0,0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 ' \$0 \$0 \$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	100		era Harbaa	0,0 0.0 0.0 1,6 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$7 \$7	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$90 \$90	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$97 \$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	100	costs includes the a	mount to verify the	0.0 0.0 0.0 1,6 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$7 \$0 cy of the chlorine	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$90 \$90 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$97 \$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	100	costs includes the a	mount to verify the	0.0 0.0 0.0 1,6 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$7 \$0 cy of the chlorine	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$90 \$90	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$97 \$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	100	costs includes the a	mount to verify the	0.0 0.0 0.0 1,6 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$7 \$0 cy of the chlorine	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$90 \$90 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$97 \$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	100	costs includes the a	mount to verify the	0.0 0.0 0.0 1,6 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$7 \$0 cy of the chlorine	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$90 \$90 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$97 \$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	100	costs includes the a	mount to verify the	0.0 0.0 0.0 1,6 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$7 \$0 cy of the chlorine	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$90 \$90 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$97 \$0

eministrativas sur sur servendos. Programas programas sur sur sur sur sur sur servendos sur sur servendos sur servendos sur servendos sur serve Programas sur servendos sur sur sur sur servendos sur servendos sur servendos sur servendos su servendos su se

the control of the co

Screening Date 9-			2006-1962-MLM-E	PCW
		wis dba Deer Springs Wate	r Company	Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No. 31		•		PCW Revision February 15, 2005
Reg. Ent. Reference No. R				of the state of th
Media [Statute] Pւ				of installable
Enf. Coordinator Re	ebecca Clausewitz			
Violation Number	7			
Rule Cite(s)		30 Tex. Admin. Code § 290	).43(e)	
Violation Description	round storage tank. Spec	rands of barbed wire on the ifically, at the time of the inv ids of barbed wire were not fence.	vestigation, there were sect	ions of
			Base P	Penalty \$1,000
>> Environmental, Property and Release OR Actual Potential	d Human Health Mat Harm Major Moderate	Minor x	Percent 5%	
>>Programmatic Matrix Falsification	Major Moderate	Minor		
			Percent 0%	·
	no could cause insignificar	bed wire on the fences, the nt amounts of contamination s that are protective of hum	n to the water supply which	
			VIII.	<u>\$950</u>
			Adjustment	4920
reneration				\$50
	•			
Violation Events	151125711			
	. [::1	52	Number of violation days	
	daily	02	inamissi si visialish days	DATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR
mark only one	quarterly		Violation Base F	Penalty \$50
	semiannual annual annual ingle event x			
	One sir	ngle event is recommended		
Economic Benefit (EB) for this	violation		Statutory Limit Test	
Estimated E	EB Amount	\$24	Violation Final Penalt	y Total \$50
		This violation Final Asses	sed Penalty (adjusted for	limits) \$50

	31679	and Anita Lewis db	501 Optinigo 1	001		mie wkiej	
eg. Ent. Reference No.						$(-1)^{n-1} \cdot (-1)^n \cdot (X) \cdot (X) = (-1)^n \cdot (X) \cdot (X)$	13 61413 63
	Public Water S	Supply				Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
	重告 李					5,0	15
1.45	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$	1.037 (000.2)	ARRAT TEE				
			and the second second	Kita in the	was endered		
Delayed Costs			ranga ang kangangang	1 0.0	\$0	F 50 I	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0.	\$0.
Other (as needed)	1. 10. 10. 10.			0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction	\$500	19-Sep-2006	1-Jun-2007	0.7	\$1	\$23	\$24
Land	(100 miles   100 m			0,0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	21128 311 1 PS 32 1 V	19-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-		0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a .	\$0
Remediation/Disposal	Day of the second			0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)		Carlo and a second and a second		0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs	fe	ence, calculated from	n the date of the	nvestiga ntering i	tion to the estima	hree strands of barb led date of compliar one-time avoided o	costs)
			A TOTAL COMPANY OF THE STATE OF	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Disposal			Carlos Ca	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment			ومنجهد بتميضانه ويبريدكن	0,0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	221				The state of the s		
Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment			the angular comme	0,0	<b>CO</b>		
Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]			ali de la companya d	0,0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Screening Date		PCW
		Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No		PCW Revision February 15, 2005
Reg. Ent. Reference No		
이 그 그 전에 있는 것이 되었다. 그는 그는 그는 그는 그를 느낌이루게 그렇지 하는 것이다.	] Public Water Supply r Rebecca Clausewitz	
Violation Numbe		
Rule Cite(s		<del></del>
•	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 290.43(c)(4)	
Violation Descriptio	Failed to equip the ground storage tank with a water level indicator capable of mea the feet of water remaining in the tank.	suring
	Base Po	enalty \$1,000
>> Environmental, Property	and Human Health Matrix	Topogrammer.
	Harm	
Releas OR Actua		
Potentia		
>>Programmatic Matrix		
Falsification	n Major Moderate Minor Percent 0%	
(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	Percent 0%	
Motrix II	proper water level indicator on the ground storage tank, the water system will be unal	. 11
Notes Compile n	ecessary water usage data and the system's ability to provide a safe and adequate w supply could be compromised.	aler
		<u></u>
		<u>roool</u>
All the second s	Adjustment	\$900
		\$100
Violation Events		•
	1 52 Number of violation days	
	daily	
vonovonovo	monthly Wieletten Bose B	enalty \$100
mark only on with an x	quarterly x Violation Base P	enalty \$100
SSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS	annual	
***************************************	single event	
***************************************		
One quarte	erly event is recommended, calculated from the investigation date, September 19, 20	06, to
	the screening date, November 9, 2006.	
Economic Benefit (EB) for t	his violation Statutory Limit Test	
Estimat	red EB Amount \$11 Violation Final Penalty	y Total \$100
	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for	limits) \$100
	The Heliaton I man Accessed I charty (adjusted for	7,00

C.4 D.4	31679	and Anita Lewis db				ng taon nga mga mga mga mga mga mga mga mga mga m	e de la companya de La companya de la co
eg. Ent. Reference No.	Public Water S					9D	
Violation No.		шрріу				Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
				A.F	DE 6450	5.0	15
Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
item bescription	No commas or a			4 9,	e tar		
Delayed Costs	12.6	7	200				
Equipment	\$200	19-Sep-2006	1-Jul-2007	8,0	\$1	\$10	\$11
Buildings	173	2 2 1 1 2 2 2 4 3 3		0.0	\$0 .	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction		<b> </b>		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land Record Keeping System		<del> </del>	34,411 (414)	0.0	\$0 \$0	n/a n/a	\$0 \$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Remediation/Disposal	man and a second			0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	* \$0	n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs	stora	ge tank, calculated t	from the date of	he inves	tigation to the esti	ater level Indicator for mated date of comp one-time avoided of	llance.
Avoided Costs	ΔΝΝ			-1110111119			
Avoided Costs	ANN			0.0	\$0	\$0	**************************************
	ANN				\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0   \$0
Disposal Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling	ANN		K .	0.0	\$0 , \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
Disposal Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	ANN		ii	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 , \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0
Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	ANN			0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Disposal Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	ANN		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	ANN		1	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	ANN			0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

The Control of the Co

and the second of the second o

Screening Date 9-Nov-2006 Docket No. 2006-1962-MLM-E	PCW
	olicy Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No. 31679 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100824937	PCW Revision February 15, 2005
Media [Statute] Public Water Supply	
Enf. Coordinator Rebecca Clausewitz	
Violation Number 9	
Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 290.45(b)(1)(C)(iii)	
	· ·
Violation Description  Failed to provide at least two service pumps at the pump station for the upper pressure plane currently only has one service pum	
Base Per	nalty \$1,000
>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix	
Harm	***************************************
Release Major Moderate Minor OR Actual	***
Potential X Percent 10%	
	#J
>>Programmatic Matrix  Foliation Major Moderate Minor	in an analysis of the second
Falsification Major Moderate Minor Percent 0%	
Matrix Without two service pumps, customers of the water system could experience water shortages	or
Notes outages and the system's ability to provide a safe and adequate water supply could be compromi	sed.
	. To T
Adjustaces	\$900
Adjustment	\$900
	\$100
Violation Events	
Number of Violation Events 1 52 Number of violation days	*
daily	
monthly	
mark only one quarterly x Violation Base Pe	nalty \$100
with an x semiannual annual	
single event	
One quarterly event is recommended, calculated from the investigation date, September 19, 200	6, to
the screening date, November 9, 2006.	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test	
Estimated EB Amount \$55 Violation Final Penalty	Total \$100
This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for li	mits) \$100

Case ID No.		and Anita Lewis db	a Deer Springs v	vater Co	ompany		
leg. Ent. Reference No.	RN100824937						
Media	Public Water S	Supply				Percent Interest	Years of
Violation No.	. 9					reicent interest	Depreciation
31 35 3 4 8 9 9			生態 新 机	1.0		5.0	1
101 A CONTROL (SEC.)	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No common of S	Agricultural de la companya de la co		<b>4</b> 1 1 1 1	124.5		
1 nem ecompao.	i No commas or o	The state of the s		e e e	one production of the second		
Delayed Costs					7.77		SULVE D
Equipment	\$1,000	19-Sep-2006	1-Jul-2007	0,8	\$3	\$52	\$55
Buildings	V 13000	January Carlot State Co.		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0,0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0,0	\$0	∜ \$0	\$0
Land	11	1118: 1018; 1323; 1334; 1441;	100	0,0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System		775765 620		0,0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		0,0	\$0	- n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	Harris and the second s						
Other (as needed)		T MAN TO THE TOTAL		0.0	L. \$0	n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs	j. (19.)	calculated from th	e date of the Inve	and Inst	all an additional se n to the estimated o	ervice pump for pres date of compliance.	ssure plane two
·	j. (19.)	calculated from th	e date of the Inve	and Inst	all an additional se n to the estimated o	ervice pump for pres	ssure plane two
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs	j. (19.)	calculated from th	e date of the inve	and Inst estigation	all an additional se n to the estimated of item (except for	ervice pump for pres date of compliance.	ssure plane two
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	j. (19.)	calculated from th	e date of the inve	and Instruction	all an additional se n to the estimated item (except for \$0 \$0	ervice pump for pres date of compliance. one-time avoided ( \$0 \$0	ssure plane two
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Suppiles/equipment	j. (19.)	calculated from th	e date of the inve	and Instruction	all an additional sen to the estimated of the interest of the estimated of the interest for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided (\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	ssure plane two
Notes for DELAYED costs  AVOIDED COSTS  Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Suppiles/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	j. (19.)	calculated from th	e date of the inve	and hist estigation	iall an additional set to the estimated of the isometric set item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Suppiles/equipment	j. (19.)	calculated from th	e date of the inve	and instructions and in	all an additional sen to the estimated of the state of th	one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	j. (19.)	calculated from th	e date of the inve	and hist estigation	iall an additional set to the estimated of the isometric set item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	costs)  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0
Avoided Costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	j. (19.)	calculated from the	e date of the Inve	and instraction and instraction and instraction and instraction and instruction and instructio	all an additional sen to the estimated of the state of th	one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

La fill of water offering for

	ening Date					<b>lo.</b> 2006-1962			PCW
			and Anita Le	ewis dba Deer	r Springs W	ater Company	•	Policy Rev	ision 2 (September 2002)
	ase ID No.							PCW Re	evision February 15, 2005
Reg. Ent. Refe									
		Public Water							
	oordinator tion Number	Rebecca Clau	isewitz						
Viola	Rule Cite(s)	10							
	Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Adm	nin. Code § 29		)(ii), (b)(1)(0 ode § 341.0		(ii) and Tex. He	ealth &	nonecoloide operation in the control of the control
Violation	Description	part of the wa in the non storage ca pressure p storage for 1 be provide	ter system ar -community p pacity of 100 blane. Specifi 28 connection d. Additionall the 128 conne	nd a ground si part of the wat gallons per co ically, the syst ns, which is 49 y, the system ections in the	torage capa er system, connection fo tem current 5.5% deficie currently p lower press	acity of 35 gallo and failure to p or the 128 cond ly provides 10 ent of the 18,3 rovides 10,000	ection in the corons per minute provide an elevenections in the ,000 gallons of 40 gallons of elections of 19% deficient	("gpm") ated lower total should vated	
					•		Base	Penalty _	\$1,000
>> Environmental,	Property a	nd Human	Health Mat	trix	4 , 5		700 kg (2000) 2000 kg (2000)		power of street principal and
	Release	Major	Moderate	Minor					
OR	Actual				]				
20 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Potential		Х			Percent	10%		·
>>Programmatic N	/latrix	HI JAI	11111	10 情报 有		1			·
i i	Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor	¬1		<del></del>		 158w
					1	Percent	0%		
Matrix Notes					safe and re		ience water sho of water could b	- 1	
						Adjustment		\$900	
49077		E SE				Aujustinen		Ψ300	
									\$100
Violation Events			3 1 1 3 1	112		5. 33 34.			
	Number of Vic	olation Events	1		52	Number o	f violation days		
		daily		1					opposite
	mark only one with an x	monthly quarterly semiannual	X			V	iolation Base	Penalty [	\$100
		annual single event							
	One suestant	v avant la res	ammonded -	oloulote d farm	a tha lawa = t	igation data C	ontomber 40. C	2006 +-	and the second
	One quarter	y event is reco		ening date, No			eptember 19, 2	.000, 10	ugoping secure consumer secure.
Economic Benefit	(EB) for th	is violation	1111	<b>全型型</b>	素素	Statuto	ry Limit Test		
	Estimate	d EB Amount		\$606	6	Violati	on Final Penal	ty Total	\$100
				This violatio	n Final As:	sessed Penal	ty (adjusted fo	r limits)	\$100
					47.49/	-2.5		10000	es es es es es es es es es

Case ID No	31679	and Anita Lewis db				riversio gravit filipida Augusto andri	r i i La Badaria de
Reg. Ent. Reference No	. RN100824937 Public Water S				anthorna	2.1451 .ed∜	Years of
Violation No		ирріу			ri (daj tra olika Mila demokratika de	Percent Interest	Depreciation
	<b>基基</b> 数 2		1000			5,0	1
Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
nem Descriptio	I No commas or \$	RESIDENCE AND RE			165 L. F. 79838482		eropyka za 1936
Delayed Costs					E S S	(1) <b>(1)</b> (1) (1)	9p (1 - A- 115)
Equipment		1		0.0	\$0	<b>\$</b> 0	\$0
Bulldings	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		· karakan ing 👝	0.0	<b>\$</b> 0	3 17 \$0	\$0
Other (as needed)	1 11 11 11 11 11		14 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0.0	\$0	Jac. 1 <b>\$0</b>	\$0
Engineering/construction	\$10,000	19-Sep-2006	1-Aug-2007	0.9	\$29	\$577	\$606
Land				0.0	\$0,	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling	10.104.000		tudis detait van tudestaar. Tudestaal	0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal	'Established and a second	483		0.0	100 0 \$0(0,00)	n/a n/a	\$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs	The delayed	costs include the a	mount to increase the investigation t	0.0.	\$0.	n/a rage capacity, calcu	\$0
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs	Constitution (Constitution)	date of	the investigation t	0.0 the tota o the est	I and elevated sto limated date of co	n/a 	\$0 Ilated from the
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Cost	Constitution (Constitution)	date of	the investigation t	0.0 the tota o the est	I and elevated sto limated date of co item (except for	n/a ii rage căpacity, calcu mpliance. one-time avoided d	\$0 ulated from the
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Cost: Disposal	Constitution (Constitution)	date of	the investigation t	the tota o the est entering	I and elevated sto limated date of co litem (except for \$0	n/a rage căpacity, calcumpliance. one-time avoided a	\$0 ulated from the costs) \$0
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Cost: Disposal Personnel	Constitution (Constitution)	date of	the investigation t	the tota o the est entering 0.0	and elevated sto limated date of co litern (except for \$0 \$0	n/a rage capacity, calcumpliance.  one-time avoided \$0 \$0	\$0  lated from the  costs)  \$0  \$0
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  AVOIDED COST.  Disposal  Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	Constitution (Constitution)	date of	the investigation t	the total of the est	and elevated sto imated date of co item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a  rage capacity, calcumpliance.  one-time avoided a  \$0  \$0  \$0	\$0  lated from the  costs)  \$0  \$0  \$0
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  AVOIDED COST: Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Suppiles/equipment	Constitution (Constitution)	date of	the investigation t	the tota o the est entering 0.0	and elevated sto limated date of co litern (except for \$0 \$0	n/a rage capacity, calcumpliance.  one-time avoided \$0 \$0	\$0  lated from the  costs)  \$0  \$0
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  AVOIDED COST.  Disposal  Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	Constitution (Constitution)	date of	the investigation t	the tota o the est entering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	and elevated sto limated date of co litem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a rage capacity, calcumpliance.  one-time avoided (	\$0 plated from the costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  AVOICE COST: Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Suppiles/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Constitution (Constitution)	date of	the investigation t	0.0	and elevated sto imated date of co item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a rage capacity, calcumpliance.  one-time avoided (	\$0 ulated from the costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed)  Notes for DELAYED costs  AVOICE COST: Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Suppiles/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Constitution (Constitution)	date of	the investigation t	0.0	and elevated sto limated date of co litem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a rage capacity, calcumpliance.  one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0  plated from the costs)  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$

(4),1,

an explicate en el

를 가장하는 것이다. 이 사람이 가장 하는 것이 되었다. 그는 가게 되었다. 그 국	Date 9-Nov-2006		ket No. 2006-1962-MLM-E	PCW
		and Anita Lewis dba Deer Spr	ings Water Company	Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)
BANKER OF THE STATE OF THE SECOND SECTION OF THE SECOND SECTION OF THE SECOND SECTION OF THE SECOND	<b>D No.</b> 31679	•		PCW Revision February 15, 2005
Reg. Ent. Reference				
를 보고 있다는 것이 되는 것이 되는 것이 되는 것으로 가득하고 있다. 그런 것으로 가지 않는 것이다.	atute] Public Water S			1
	nator Rebecca Claus	ewitz		OOL PARKET
Violation N				
. Rule	Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Co	de § 290.46(m)(1)	
Violation Desc	ription Failed to cond	uct an annual inspection of th	e water system's one ground stora	age tank.
			Bas	e Penalty \$1,000
>> Environmental, Prop	erty and Human H	ealth Matrix		
		Harm		
	Release Major	Moderate Minor		
OR	Actual		Barrant 100/	
P	otential	<u> </u>	Percent 10%	
>>Programmatic Matrix				
	ication Major	Moderate Minor		
			Percent 0%	-
Con	nolete failure to perform	an inspection of the storage	tank could result in non-detection	of a tank
Matrix defec			water supply could be exposed to	
Notes	mounts of contamination	n which would not exceed lev	els that are protective of human h	ealth.
				Autor
			Adjustment	\$900
				£400
				\$100
Violation Events				
:		·		
Numb	er of Violation Events	1 [	365 Number of violation day	ys
	,			
	daily			ALLymental
mark	monthly only one quarterly		Violation Bas	e Penalty \$100
	semiannual		Violation bas	c remarky
	annual	×		
	single event			
			W	
		One annual event is reco	immended.	
				<u> </u>
Economic Benefit (EB)	for this violation		Statutory Limit Te	st
F	stimated EB Amount	\$110	Violation Final Pen	alty Total \$100
1				
		This violation Fi	nal Assessed Penalty (adjusted	for limits) \$100

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100824937  Media Public Water Supply  Violation No. 11				Mark Company		Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation	
		10.2665	57735	1	opali statuteimis 1855 – A. 1920 – S	5.0	. 1	
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs I	nterest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount	
Item Description	No commas or \$	Wasses.		16. 12.	瑟 龍 唐 4			
	200			7.7. WEEK 1871				
Delayed Costs Equipment		1		1 0.0 T	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Equipment Bulldings	921 DANKER (************************************	tid ingraturations	Control of the Contro	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0	
Engineering/construction			20 7	0,0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0	
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0	
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0	
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	; \$0	n/a	\$0	
Permit Costs			ar divine territorial Barri	0.0	. \$0	n/a	\$0	
Other (as needed)	and the state of t		Control of the Contro		1.5 00			
, ,		There	are no delayed c	0.0   osts assoc	# \$0	n/a	\$0	
Notes for DELAYED costs  AVOIDED COSTS  Disposal  Personnel  Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	ANN	134	e are no delayed c	osts assoc	em (except for \$0 \$0 \$0	iolation.		
Notes for DELAYED costs  AVOIDED COSTS Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment		134		osts associately a second seco	em (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	iolation.  one-time avoided (  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	
Avoided Costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal  Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	ANN	UALIZE [1] avoid	ed costs before e	osts associately a second seco	em (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	iolation.  one-time avoided (  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	
Notes for DELAYED costs  AVOIDED COSTS Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment		134		osts associated by the state of	em (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$10	one-time avoided (	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$110	
Avoided Costs  Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	ANN	UALIZE [1] avoid	ed costs before e	osts associately a second seco	em (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	iolation.  one-time avoided (  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	

esta e la serie de la companya de l La companya de la companya de

Screening Date 9-Nov-2006 Docket No. 2006-1962-MLM-E	PCW
	cy Revision 2 (September 2002)
	CW Revision February 15, 2005
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100824937	
Media [Statute] Public Water Supply	
Enf. Coordinator Rebecca Clausewitz	
V12 12 Pula Cita(a)	
Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 290.46(m)(1)	
Violation Description Failed to conduct an annual inspection of the water system's two pressure tanks.	
Base Pena	alty \$1,000
>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix	
Harm	
Release Major Moderate Minor OR Actual	
OR Actual Potential X Percent 25%	•
referred 25%	
>>Programmatic Matrix	
Falsification Major Moderate Minor	
Percent 0%	
	· ·
Matrix Notes  Complete failure to perform inspections on the pressure tanks could result in non-detection of tan defects that could cause loss of tank integrity, and customers of the water supply could be exposed contamination at levels that are protective of human health.	
Adjustment \$	750
	0050
	\$250
Violation Events	
Number of Violation Events 2 365 Number of violation days	
daily	
monthly	
mark only one quarterly Violation Base Pena	alty \$500
with an x semiannual annual x	
single event	
Two annual events are recommended.	
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test	
Estimated EB Amount \$219 Violation Final Penalty To	otal \$500
This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for lim	its) \$500

Case ID No.		and Anita Lewis db	opgo **		,	rote and the	antis.
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN100824937				(%)	nera <b>kilo.</b> Dava	12.1
Media Violation No.	Public Water S 12	upply			The second second	Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
		<b>以</b> 名 2000 年 600	<b>人名英格里</b>	1250		5.0	18
5.4 B 10.4 B	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$	restroy Eroba.	t distanta				
Delayed Costs							
Delayed Costs Equipment		1 1		0.0	\$0	: \$0	\$0
Buildings		11.00 TO THE RESERVE OF THE RESERVE	Control of the Contro	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction	<del></del>			0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Notes for DELANCE		Thorse	Ava sa dalayad s	1703	aalatad With this	idalatak	
Notes for DELAYED costs	18 V 18 7 -	(¢* <b>i</b>	are no délayed co			iolation	
Avoided Costs	Linux entrolling to the	(¢* <b>i</b>	i energy	ntering	item (except for	iolation;	
Avoided Costs Disposal	Linux entrolling to the	(¢* <b>i</b>	i energy	ntering	item (except for	one-time avoided	\$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	Linux entrolling to the	(¢* <b>i</b>	i energy	ntering 0.0 0.0	Item (except for \$0 \$0	one-time avoided a	\$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	Linux entrolling to the	(¢* <b>i</b>	i energy	ntering 0,0 0,0 0,0		one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	Linux entrolling to the	(¢* <b>i</b>	i energy	ntering   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0	\$0   \$0   \$0   \$0   \$0   \$0   \$0   \$0	one-time avoided ( \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	ANN	UALIZE [1] avoide	ed costs before e	ntering   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0	item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided ( \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	Linux entrolling to the	(¢* <b>i</b>	i energy	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1,9	item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$19	one-time avoided (	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$219
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	ANN	UALIZE [1] avoide	ed costs before e	ntering   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0	item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	one-time avoided ( \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	\$200	UALIZE [1] avoide	ed costs before e	ntering   0,0   0,0   0,0   0,0   0,0   1,9   0,0		one-time avoided (	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$219 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	\$200	UALIZE [1] avoide	d costs before e	ntering   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   1.9   0.0	tem (except for   \$0	one-time avoided ( \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$200 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$219
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$200	UALIZE [1] avoide	d costs before e	ntering   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   1.9   0.0		one-time avoided ( \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$200 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$219 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$200	UALIZE [1] avoide	d costs before e	ntering   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0   1.9   0.0	tem (except for   \$0	one-time avoided ( \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$200 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$219

The grant and the first of the second second

growing to the company of which

### Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) PCW Revision February 15, 2005 Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) DATES Assigned 16-Oct-2006 9-Nov-2006 EPA Due PCW Screening 9-Nov-2006 RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent Cody B. Lewis and Anita Lewis dba Deer Springs Water Company Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN100824937 Major/Minor Source Minor Facility/Site Region 11-Austin CASE INFORMATION Enf./Case ID No. 31679 No. of Violations 1 Docket No. 2006-1962-MLM-E Order Type 1660 Enf. Coordinator Rebecca Clausewitz Media Program(s) Public Water Utilities EC's Team EnforcementTeam 2 Multi-Media Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum Maximum \$500 Penalty Calculation Section TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) \$50 Subtotal 1 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. 0% Enhancement Subtotals 2, 3, & 7 \$0 Compliance History No adjustment to the penalty is recommended due to the Respondents' Notes lack of compliance history. Subtotal 4 \$0 0% Enhancement Culpability Νo The Respondents do not meet the culpability criteria. Notes Subtotal 5 \$0 Good Faith Effort to Comply 0% Reduction NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer Before NOV Extraordinan Ordinar (mark with x) N/A The Respondents do not meet the good faith effort criteria. Notes \$0 Subtotal 6 0% Enhancement\* Total FR Amounts \*Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount \$200 Approx. Cost of Compliance \$200 \$50 **SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7** Final Subtotal \$0 OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE Adjustment Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. -30 for -30 Notes \$50 Final Penalty Amount

Final Assessed Penalty

Reduction Adjustment

20%

\$50

-\$10

\$40

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT

Notes

**PAYABLE PENALTY** 

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

**DEFERRAL** 

2006-1962-MLM-E PCW

Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7)

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

PCW Revision February 15, 2005

Screening Date 9-Nov-2006 Docket No. 2006-1962-MLM-E
Respondent Cody B. Lewis and Anita Lewis dba Deer Springs Water

Case ID No. 31679

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN100824937

Media [Statute] Public Water Utilities

Enf. Coordinator Rebecca Clausewitz

_		Compliance History Worksheet			
>> Com		/ Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2) Number of	Enter Number Here	Adjust.	
	NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)		0%	
		Other written NOVs	0	0%	
		Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orde meeting criteria)	rs 0	0%	
	Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a den of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibite emergency orders issued by the commission		0%.	•
1 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1	Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liabil of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)		0%	
	and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final co- judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the fede government		0%	
	Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%	
	Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%	
	Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Tex Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number audits for which notices were submitted)		0%	
-	, udic	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privile Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	ge IIIO	0%	
		P	lease Enter Yes or No		
		Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%	
/	Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under special assistance program	a No	0%	
		Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%	
		Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal governmental requirements	ent No	0%	
		Adjustment	t Percentage (St	ıbtotal 2) [	0%
>> Repe	at Violator (Su	ibtotal 3)			
	N/A		Percentage (Su	ıbtotal 3) [	0%
>> Com	oliance History	/ Person Classification (Subtotal 7)			
0011				г	
	N/A	Adjustment	Percentage (Su	ıbtotal 7) [	0%
>> Com	pliance Histon	Summary			
	Compliance History Notes	No adjustment to the penalty is recommended due to the Respondents' lack of compl	ance history,	evito itorio. L	1 1

Screening Date 9	10000		2006-1962-MLM-E	PCW
	Cody B. Lewis and Anita Lewis	s dba Deer Springs Wa	ater Compan	Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No. 3				PCW Revision February 15, 2005
Reg. Ent. Reference No. F				
Media [Statute] F Enf. Coordinator F				
Violation Number	1			
l l				SERVICE .
Rule Cite(s)	30	Tex. Admin. Code § 29	91.90(b)	
Violation Description	the ners			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			Base F	Penalty \$500
			Dase i	enaity <del>voco</del>
>> Environmental, Property ar	id Human Health Matrix	(		
Release	<b>Harm</b> Major Moderate	Minor		
OR Actual	Wajor Woderate	- Millor		a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a
Potential			Percent 0%	
>> Duogrampagtia Matrix				
>>Programmatic Matrix Falsification	Major Moderate	Minor		
	x		Percent 10%	-
Matrix Notes	100% of the ru	le requirement was no	t met.	
			Adjustment	\$450
				\$50
Total Control				φου
Violation Events				
Number of Viol	ation Events	52	Number of violation days	
Number of viol	ation Events	<u> </u>	Inditibel of violation days	
acceptation	daily			
	monthly		Malada Basa	\$ tt-
mark only one with an x	quarterly		Violation Base I	Penalty \$50
	annual annual			
	single event x			
F				
	One single	event is recommende	ed.	
Economic Benefit (EB) for this	s violation		Statutory Limit Test	
Estimated	EB Amount	\$200	Violation Final Penalt	y Total \$50
			and Donolfy (adjusts of fee	(limita)
	This	s violation Final Asse	ssed Penalty (adjusted for	limits) \$50

	E	conomic E	Benefit W	orks	heet		
Respondent	Cody B. Lewis	and Anita Lewis db	a Deer Springs W	ater Cor	mpany	ta water i	9.5
Case ID No.							
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN100824937					***************************************	
Media	Public Water U	tilities				Percent Interest	Years of
Violation No.	1				a alst be or	r digent interest	Depreciation
33660 (4.50)						5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$					100	
		and the second					
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0,0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0,0	\$0	\$0	\$0
, Other (as needed)∷ [				0,0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction	2.00			0.0	\$0	\$0	\$O
Land		Paragraph Control		0,0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System		23.22.00.00.00.00.00.00.00		0,0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0,0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0 \$0	n/a n/a	\$0 \$0
Other (as needed)		ll		טיט ון	μ	IV8	Φυ
Notes for DELAYED costs		There	are no delayed o	osts assi	oclated with this v	iolation.	, foreigner
یا Avoided Costs	ANN	UALIZE [1] avoide	d costs before e	nterina	Item (except for	one-time avoided (	costs)
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	<b>\$</b> O	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0,0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0,0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	\$200	7-Sep-2006	7-Sep-2006	0,0	\$0	\$200	\$200
				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs	The avoided o	costs include the an			ain records of servistigation.	rice interruptions, ca	llculated for the
Approx. Cost of Compliance	•	\$200	t to the second		TOTAL		\$200

Contact of the second of the s

Andrew Commence of the Commenc

# **Compliance History**

Customer	/Respondent/Owner-Operator:	CN602295651	LEWIS, ANITA	Classification:		Rating:
Regulated	Entity:	RN100824937	DEER SPRINGS WATER CO	Classification:		Site Rating:
ID Numbe	r(s):	PUBLIC WATER S WATER LICENSIN		REGISTRATION LICENSE	0270006 0270006	
Location:		END OF DEER SE	PRINGS DR S OF HWY 29 W C	)F BURNET		
TCEQ Re	gion:	REGION 11 - AUS	STIN			
Date Com	pliance History Prepared:	November 08, 200	06	`		
Agency D	ecision Requiring Compliance History:	Enforcement			-	
Complian	ce Period:	November 08, 200	11 to November 08, 2006			
TCEQ Sta	off Member to Contact for Additional Info	rmation Regarding	this Compliance History			
Name:	Rebecca Clausewitz	Phone:	(210) 403-4012			
		Site Co	mpliance History Component	s		•
1. Has the	e site been in existence and/or operation	for the full five year	r compliance period?	Yes		
2. Has the	ere been a (known) change in ownership	o of the site during the	ne compliance period?	No		
3. If Yes,	who is the current owner?			N/A		
4. if Yes,	who was/were the prior owner(s)?			<u>N/A</u>		
5. When	did the change(s) in ownership occur?	,		N/A		
Compon	ents (Multimedia) for the Site :					
Α.	Final Enforcement Orders, court judgm N/A	ents, and consent d	ecrees of the state of Texas an	d the federal government.	•	
В.	Any criminal convictions of the state of N/A	Texas and the fede	ral government.			
C.	Chronic excessive emissions events. N/A					
D.	The approval dates of investigations. (CN/A	CCEDS Inv. Track. I	No.)			
E.	Written notices of violations (NOV). (CON/A	CEDS Inv. Track. No	o.)			
F.	Environmental audits. N/A					
G.	Type of environmental management sy N/A	ystems (EMSs).				
H.	Voluntary on-site compliance assessm N/A	ent dates.				
1.	Participation in a voluntary pollution red N/A	duction program.				
J.	Early compliance. N/A					
Sites Ou	tside of Texas N/A					

# **Compliance History**

Customer	Respondent/Owner-Operator:	CN601357585	LEWIS, CODY B	Classificati	on:	Rating:
Regulated	Entity:	RN100824937	DEER SPRINGS WATER CO	O Classificati	on:	Site Rating:
ID Numbe	r(s):	PUBLIC WATER WATER LICENSI	SYSTEM/SUPPLY NG	REGISTRATION LICENSE	0270006 0270006	
Location:		END OF DEER S	PRINGS DR S OF HWY 29 W (	OF BURNET		
TCEQ Re	gion:	REGION 11 – AU	STIN	· .	eren je samu	
Date Com	pliance History Prepared:	November 08, 200	06	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History:		Enforcement				
Compliance Period:		November 08, 20	01 to November 08, 2006			
TCEQ Sta	ff Member to Contact for Additional Info	rmation Regarding	this Compliance History			
Name:	Rebecca Clausewitz	Phone:	(210) 403-4012			
		Site Co	ompliance History Componen	ts		•
1. Has the	site been in existence and/or operation	for the full five yea	ar compliance period?	Yes	• •	
2. Has the	ere been a (known) change in ownership	of the site during t	the compliance period?	No		
3. If Yes,	who is the current owner?			N/A	•	
4. if Yes,	who was/were the prior owner(s)?			N/A		
5. When o	lid the change(s) in ownership occur?			<u>N/A</u>		
Compone	ents (Multimedia) for the Site :					
A.	Final Enforcement Orders, court judgm	ents, and consent o	decrees of the state of Texas ar	nd the federal governme	ent.	
В.	Any criminal convictions of the state of N/A	Texas and the fede	eral government.			
C.	Chronic excessive emissions events. N/A					
D.	The approval dates of investigations. (CN/A	CCEDS Inv. Track.	No.)	,		
E.	Written notices of violations (NOV). (CC N/A	CEDS Inv. Track. N	lo.)			
F.	Environmental audits. N/A					
G.	Type of environmental management sy N/A	rstems (EMSs).				
Н.	Voluntary on-site compliance assessment	ent dates.				
i.	Participation in a voluntary pollution red N/A	duction program.				
J.	Early compliance. N/A					
Sites Out	side of Texas N/A					

the control of the co

# TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



N THE MATTER OF AN	§ BEFO	ORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	
CODY B. LEWIS AND ANITA LEWIS	§ TEXA	AS COMMISSION ON
DBA DEER SPRINGS WATER	§	
COMPANY	§	
RN100824937	§ ENV	RONMENTAL QUALITY
DBA DEER SPRINGS WATER COMPANY	\$ \$	

### AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2006-1962-MLM-E

### I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its \_\_\_\_\_\_ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Cody B. Lewis and Anita Lewis dba Deer Springs Water Company ("Mr. and Ms. Lewis") under the authority of Tex. Health & Safety Code ch. 341 and Tex. Water Code ch. 13. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and Mr. and Ms. Lewis appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

- 1. Mr. and Ms. Lewis own and operate a public water supply on Deer Springs Drive, south of Highway 29 in Burnet County, Texas (the "Facility") that has approximately 128 service connections and serves at least 25 people per day for at least 60 days per year.
- 2. Mr. and Ms. Lewis own and operate, in Texas and for compensation, equipment or facilities for the transmission, storage, distribution, sale, or provision of potable water to the public or for the resale of potable water to the public for any use. Mr. and Ms. Lewis are not exempt from regulation under Tex. Water Code ch. 13 or the rules of the Commission.
- 3. The Commission and Mr. and Ms. Lewis agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that Mr. and Ms. Lewis are subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. Mr. and Ms. Lewis received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about October 15, 2006.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by Mr. and Ms. Lewis of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of One Thousand Seven Hundred Dollars (\$1,700) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations").

Mr. and Ms. Lewis have paid One Thousand Three Hundred Sixty Dollars (\$1,360) of the administrative penalty and Three Hundred Forty Dollars (\$340) is deferred contingent upon Mr. and Ms. Lewis' timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If Mr. and Ms. Lewis fail to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require Mr. and Ms. Lewis to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and Mr. and Ms. Lewis have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
- 9. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that Mr. and Ms. Lewis have not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 10. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 11. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

#### II. ALLEGATIONS

As owners and operators of the Facility, Mr. and Ms. Lewis are alleged to have:

- 1. Failed to maintain a record of all service interruptions that shows the cause of the interruptions, the date, time, duration, location, approximate number of customers affected, and, in cases of emergency interruptions, the remedy and steps taken to prevent a recurrence, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 291.90(b), as documented during an investigation conducted on September 7, 2006.
- 2. Failed to issue boil water notifications to the customers of the water supply within 24 hours of a water outage, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46(q)(1), as documented during an investigation conducted on September 7, 2006.
- 3. Failed to institute special precautions, including collection of a water sample for bacteriological analysis, to ensure that the drinking water supply has not been compromised following the return of service after a water outage, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46(q), as documented during an investigation conducted on September 7, 2006.
- 4. Failed to maintain the records of water works operations, including the amount of chemicals used for the months of September through December 2005 and July and August 2006, so that those records are available for review at the time of the investigation, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46(f)(2) and (f)(3)(A)(i)(III), as documented during an investigation conducted on September 19, 2006.

- 5. Failed to provide a minimum well capacity of 0.6 gallons per minute ("gpm") per connection for the community and non-community parts of the water supply, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 290.45(b)(1)(C)(i) and (c)(1)(B)(i) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 341.0315(c), as documented during an investigation conducted on September 19, 2006.
- 6. Failed to install all electrical wiring securely in accordance with a local or national electrical code, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46(v), as documented during an investigation conducted on September 19, 2006.
- 7. Failed to verify the accuracy of manual disinfectant residual analyzers in the chlorine residual test kit at least once every 30 days using chlorine solutions of known concentrations, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 290.46(s)(2)(C)(i), as documented during an investigation conducted on September 19, 2006.
- 8. Failed to maintain three strands of barbed wire at the top of the intruder-resistant fence surrounding the ground storage tank in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 290.43(e), as documented during an investigation conducted on September 19, 2006.
- 9. Failed to equip the ground storage tank with a water level indicator capable of measuring the feet of water remaining in the tank, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 290.43(c)(4), as documented during an investigation conducted on September 19, 2006.
- 10. Failed to provide at least two service pumps at the pump station for the upper pressure plane, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 290.45(b)(1)(C)(iii), as documented during an investigation conducted on September 19, 2006.
- 11. Failed to provide a total storage capacity of 200 gallons per connection for the 84 connections in the community part of the water system and a ground storage capacity of 35 gpm for the 44 connections in the non-community part of the water system, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 290.45(b)(1)(C)(ii), (c)(1)(B)(ii) and Tex. Health & Safety Code § 341.0315(c), as documented during an investigation conducted on September 19, 2006.
- 12. Failed to provide an elevated storage capacity of 100 gallons per connection in the lower pressure plane, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.45(b)(1)(C)(iv) and TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 341.0315(c), as documented during an investigation conducted on September 19, 2006.
- 13. Failed to conduct an annual inspection of the water system's one ground storage tank, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46(m)(1), as documented during an investigation conducted on September 19, 2006.
- 14. Failed to conduct an annual inspection of the water system's two pressure tanks, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46(m)(1), as documented during an investigation conducted on September 19, 2006.

#### III. DENIALS

Mr. and Ms. Lewis generally deny each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

en de la companya de la co

en de la composition La composition de la La composition de la

#### IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that Mr. and Ms. Lewis pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and Mr. and Ms. Lewis' compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations, which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Cody B. Lewis and Anita Lewis dba Deer Springs Water Company, Docket No. 2006-1962-MLM-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- .2. Mr. and Ms. Lewis are jointly and severally liable for the violations documented in this Agreed Order, and are jointly and severally liable for timely and satisfactory compliance with all terms and conditions of this Agreed Order.
- 3. It is further ordered that Mr. and Ms. Lewis shall undertake the following technical requirements:
  - a. Within 10 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order:
    - i. Implement procedures that will ensure boil water notifications will be issued to the customers of the water supply within 24 hours of a water outage, samples for bacteriological analysis will be collected following the return of service after a water outage, and all records of service interruptions will be maintained, including information concerning the cause of the interruptions, the date, time, duration, location, approximate number of customers affected, and, in cases of emergency interruptions, the remedy and steps taken to prevent a recurrence, in accordance with 30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 290.46 and 291.90;
    - ii. Begin verifying the accuracy of manual disinfectant residual analyzers in the chlorine residual test kit at least once every 30 days using chlorine solutions of known concentrations, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46; and
    - iii. Begin maintaining the records of water works operations, including the amount of chemicals used each month, so that those records are available for review at the time of investigations, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46.
  - b. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order:
    - i. Submit written certification of compliance as described below in Ordering Provision 3.e., and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision 3.a.;
    - ii. Install all electrical wiring securely in accordance with a local or national electrical code, as required by 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46;

- iii. Begin maintaining three strands of barbed wire at the top of the intruder-resistant fence surrounding the ground storage tank, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.43;
- iv. Conduct an annual inspection of the water system's one ground storage tank, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46; and
- v. Conduct an annual inspection of the water system's two pressure tanks, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 290.46.
- c. Within 60 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order:
  - i. Submit written certification of compliance as described below in Ordering Provision 3.e., and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provisions 3.b.ii. through 3.b.v.;
  - ii. Install a water level indicator on the ground storage tank that is capable of measuring the feet of water remaining in the tank, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 290.43; and
  - iii. Install a second service pump at the pump station for pressure plane two, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 290.45.
- d. Within 90 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order:
  - i. Submit written certification of compliance as described below in Ordering Provision 3.e., and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provisions 3.c.ii. and 3.c.iii.;
  - ii. Provide a minimum well capacity of 0.6 gpm per connection for the community and non-community parts of the water supply, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 290.45;
  - iii. Provide a total storage capacity of 200 gallons per connection in the community part of the water system and a ground storage capacity of 35 gpm in the non-community part of the water system, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 290.45; and
  - iv. Provide an elevated storage capacity of 100 gallons per connection in the lower pressure plane, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 290.45.
- e. Within 105 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit written certification as described below, and include detailed supporting documentation including photographs, receipts, and/or other records to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provisions 3.d.ii. through 3.d.iv. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

The certification shall be submitted to:

Order Compliance Team
Enforcement Division, MC 149A
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Water Section Manager Austin Regional Office Texas Commission on Environmental Quality 1921 Cedar Bend Drive, Suite 150 Austin, Texas 78758-5336

- 4. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon Mr. and Ms. Lewis. Mr. and Ms. Lewis are ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 5. If Mr. and Ms. Lewis fail to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, Mr. and Ms. Lewis' failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. Mr. and Ms. Lewis shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. Mr. and Ms. Lewis shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after Mr. and Ms. Lewis become aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 6. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by Mr. and Ms. Lewis shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until Mr. and Ms. Lewis receive written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 7. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against Mr. and Ms. Lewis in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 8. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by

the figure of the first production of the second second

en de la seguina de la companya de la co

en de la composition La composition de la

en de la Companya de la Companya de Maria de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la co La companya de la co Cody B. Lewis and Anita Lewis dba Deer Springs Water Company DOCKET NO. 2006-1962-MLM-E Page 7

facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.

9. Under 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to Mr. and Ms. Lewis, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to Mr. and Ms. Lewis, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

## SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission

	(x,y) = (x,y)		
For the Executive Director		7/30/07 Date	
attached Agreed Order on behalf of	the entity, if any, indicated be in. I further acknowledge th	d Order. I am authorized to agree to the elow my signature, and I do agree to the nat the TCEQ, in accepting payment for	e
<ul> <li>failure to timely pay the penalty amo</li> <li>A negative impact on my con</li> <li>Greater scrutiny of any perm</li> <li>Referral of this case to the penalties, and/or attorney fee</li> <li>Increased penalties in any fur</li> </ul>	ount, may result in: mpliance history; it applications submitted by r Attorney General's Office fo es, or to a collection agency; ture enforcement actions agai	or contempt, injunctive relief, additiona	ıl
• TCEQ seeking other relief as In addition, any falsification of any of Signature	compliance documents may re	esult in criminal prosecution.  Date	
Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of Cody I dba Deer Springs Water Company		Title	
Signature  Anik H. Lewis  Name (Printed or typed)  Authorized Representative of Anita		3/20/2007 Date  Owner  Title	
Authorized Representative of Anita dba Deer Springs Water Company	Lewis		

**Instructions**: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.